## WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag & The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

## Case No. OA - 56 OF 2018 MD MANAN SK Vs. THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
01 20.06.18	For the Applicant : Mr.A.Hati Learned Advocate	
	For the Respondent : Mr.G.P.Banerjee Learned Advocate	
	The applicant has prayed for quashing of order	
	communicated under letter dated January 20, 2016	
	issued by the Additional Secretary to Government of	
	West Bengal, Department of Agriculture, by which claim	
	of the applicant for compassionate appointment was	
	rejected. One Hekmat Sk, father of the applicant was	
	declared by the Medical Board as permanently	
	incapacitated on August 03, 2009 while he was working	
	as Krishi Shramik of Nowda Block Seed Farm under	
	Deputy Director of Agriculture (Administration) in the	
	district of Murshidabad. The applicant submitted	
	application for compassionate appointment which was	
	initially rejected and the fact of rejection was	
	communicated under letter dated June 20, 2014 issued by	
	the Assistant Secretary, Government of West Bengal,	
	Department of Agriculture. The claim of the applicant	
	for compassionate appointment was again reconsidered	
	by the Department of Agriculture, Government of West	

#### MD MANNAN SK

Form No.

••••

Vs.

## THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

.....

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	Bengal on the basis of letter dated September 5, 2014 issued by the Deputy Director of Agriculture (Administration) Murshidabad. The applicant moved this Tribunal by filing OA-708 of 2015 which was disposed of on July 31, 2015. This Tribunal gave direction to the Assistant Secretary to the Government of West Bengal, Department of Agriculture to act upon Memo. No. 2358/En dated September 5, 2014 issued by the Deputy Director of Agriculture (Administration), Murshidabad. Ultimately, the claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected and the said fact was communicated to the applicant under letter dated January 20, 2016 issued by the Respondent No.3, which is under challenge in the present application.	3
	It appears from the impugned letter dated January 20, 2016 issued by the Respondent No. 3 that the claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment was rejected on the ground that the father of the applicant did not exhaust the leave in his credit and thereby the conditions laid down at paragraph 6 (b) (ii) of Notification No. 251-EMP dated December 3, 2013 and para 2 (ii) of Notification No. 303-EMP/1M-10/2000	

#### **MD MANNAN SK**

Form No.

....

## Vs.

Case No. **OA - 56 OF 2018** 

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
data Gorappi Consultation In Inget Consultation In Inget Consultation In Inget Consultation In Inget Consultation Inget Consult	ted August 21, 2002 issued by the Labour Department, overnment of West Bengal were not fulfilled for giving pointment on compassionate ground. Learned runsel for the applicant has made two fold brissions: first, the amount of leave encashment received by the father of the applicant as retirement mefit cannot be taken into consideration to decide rome of members of family of the employee retired rematurely due to permanent incapacitation, and condly, Notification issued by the Labour Department, overnment of West Bengal in the year 2013 cannot be rade applicable in the present case where cause of the interior for getting compassionate appointment arose in the year 2009 when the father of the applicant retired rematurely. On the other hand, Mr.Banerjee, Learned runsel representing the State respondents contends that the father of the applicant did not exhaust the leave this credit and as such, the applicant is not entitled to be compassionate appointment for violating the multions laid down in the Notification issued by the bour Department, Government of West Bengal.	3

#### MD MANNAN SK

Form No.

••••

Vs.

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

.....

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
_	Having heard Learned Counsel representing both parties and on consideration of the facts of the present case, we accept the submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicant that notification No.251-EMP dated December 3, 2013 issued by the Labour Department, Government of West Bengal cannot be made applicable with retrospective effect in the present case where cause of action for claiming compassionate appointment arose in the year 2009 when the father of the applicant retired prematurely due to permanent incapacitation. However, the conditions for giving compassionate appointment to the dependants of an employee who was declared permanently incapacitated are laid down in Notification No. 30-EMP dated April 2, 2008 issued by the Labour Department, Government of West Bengal by which the applicant will be governed, as the said Notification was in force in the year 2009. It is specifically laid down in proviso to paragraph 2 of the said notification 30-EMP dated April 2, 2008 that in case of compassionate appointment of a dependant of a Government employee who retires prematurely on being declared permanently incapacitated, all conditions laid down in para A-2 of	

#### **MD MANNAN SK**

Form No.

•••

# Vs. THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

.....

Labour Department notification No. 303-EMP/1M-10/2000 dated August 21, 2002 and dated August 21, 2002 shall have to be fulfilled. On perusal of Notification No. 303-EMP/1M-10/2000 dated August 21, 2002 issued by the Labour Department, Government of West Bengal, we find that in paragraph A-2 (ii) of the said Notification, the condition for giving compassionate appointment to any dependent of a Government employee retiring prematurely on being declared permanently incapacitated shall have to exhaust all kinds of leave with pay including commuted leave on medical ground. In the instant case, the admitted position is that the father of the applicant did not exhaust all kinds of leave including commuted leave before premature retirement. On the contrary, the father of the applicant enjoyed leave encashment and thereby the applicant did not fulfil conditions laid down in Labour Department Notification No. 30-EMP dated April 2, 2008 for getting compassionate appointment. The argument advanced on behalf of the applicant that the amount of leave encashment received by the father of the applicant cannot be taken into consideration for the purpose of	Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
		2000 dated August 21, 2002 and dated August 21, 2002 shall have to be fulfilled. On perusal of Notification No. 303-EMP/1M-10/2000 dated August 21, 2002 issued by the Labour Department, Government of West Bengal, we find that in paragraph A-2 (ii) of the said Notification, the condition for giving compassionate appointment to any dependent of a Government employee retiring prematurely on being declared permanently incapacitated shall have to exhaust all kinds of leave with pay including commuted leave on medical ground. In the instant case, the admitted position is that the father of the applicant did not exhaust all kinds of leave including commuted leave before premature retirement. On the contrary, the father of the applicant enjoyed leave encashment and thereby the applicant did not fulfil conditions laid down in Labour Department Notification No. 30-EMP dated April 2, 2008 for getting compassionate appointment. The argument advanced on behalf of the applicant that the amount of leave encashment received by the father of the applicant	3

MD	M	ANN	ΔN	SK
-   V     <i> </i>		-	$A \cup A$	7

····

Vs.
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Case No. <u>OA - 50 OF 2018</u>				
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary		
	compassionate appointment has no bearing on the facts of the present case. In view of our above findings, we do not find any illegality in rejecting the claim of the applicant for compassionate appointment. As a result,			
	the original application is dismissed.  Let a plain copy of the order be supplied to both parties.			
	(S.K.Das) (R.K.Bag) MEMBER(A) MEMBER (J)			
BLR				